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Abstract: Despite the increase of Spanish heritage language (HL) courses in response to the linguistic needs of HL learners, these courses often combine this student population with advanced second language (L2) learners. This common scenario presents a challenge to many instructors who lack the training to negotiate intercultural and linguistic issues in the classroom so that all students can benefit. This essay presents key intercultural concerns (e.g., embarrassment and intimidation) and suggests strategies for instructors. Twenty-first-century students will work in collaborative contexts, so they must learn how to benefit from their classmates' strengths and work on their weaknesses through peer interaction and teamwork.
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1. Introduction

Due to the growth of the Spanish-speaking population in the United States, many institutions have created specific courses for Spanish heritage language (HL) learners, who need a separate track due to their linguistic background (Bowles and Montrul 2014). These courses usually focus on literacy skills since many HL learners lack formal instruction in Spanish despite their early acquisition of the language in a naturalistic setting. Language educators generally concur with regard to the need for a separate track for HL learners at the lower-level language courses (Beaudrie and Ducar 2005; Draper and Hicks 2000). Less than half of US colleges and universities, however, offer a separate track (Beaudrie 2012). Even when institutions offer a separate track, HL learners are still regularly grouped together with second language (L2) learners in advanced-level content courses (Henshaw and Bowles 2015). This situation presents a challenge for many instructors who are not trained in how to deal with mixed learner (L2 and HL) needs in the same classroom to maximize the learning experience of all students. This essay reviews student opinions on this learning scenario, presents the main challenges for instructors addressing these views, and suggests key strategies for successfully guiding groups of mixed learners.

2. Background

Many institutions have created Spanish HL courses to address the linguistic needs of these learners, especially in areas with an increasing Spanish-speaking population. The rationale behind these courses is based upon both linguistic and affective factors (Colombi and Alarcón 1997; Potowski 2002; Valdés, Fishman, Chávez, and Pérez 2006). Furthermore, these courses are typically taught by trained instructors and focus on transferring HL learners' literacy skills
from English and extending linguistic repertoires. Despite these recent trends, many HL learners remain in Spanish courses designed for L2 learners who possess minimal cultural and linguistic backgrounds in Spanish (Brecht and Ingold 1998; Valdés 1995). Moreover, in these mixed learner classrooms, instructors are usually trained to teach only L2 learners. Even if they have knowledge of HL instructional methodology, they are rarely familiar with approaches to mixed classes. As a consequence, these classes are problematic for many instructors. They also present serious concerns for HL learners, as many feel that instructors make false assumptions about their linguistic competence and, consequently, have higher expectations of them (Potowski 2002).

Research on mixed learner classrooms is scarce despite the prevalence of this scenario nationally (Beaudrie 2012; Henshaw and Bowles 2015). Bowles, Adams, and Toth (2014) conducted a study on L2–HL interactions in the mixed Spanish classroom to provide empirical evidence about whether the needs of both learner groups were met. Second language learners were able to fill gaps in their L2 when negotiating meaning with HL learners, so their learning process was facilitated without the errors that would inevitably occur between L2–L2 learners. This was one of the main benefits for L2 learners. Yet, there were also disadvantages: L2 learners felt more confident when interacting with students from a similar linguistic background. Perceiving HL learners as stronger speakers, L2 speakers reported feeling intimidated. This finding can be interpreted as an oral advantage for HL learners and a challenge for L2 learners. The only benefit that Bowles et al. (2014) find for HL learners in this situation is the opportunity to extend the use of their Spanish to the classroom setting. Thus, they propose using tasks that are mutually beneficial for both L2 and HL learners (e.g., oral and written tasks). Second language learners would benefit from HL learners in oral tasks and by obtaining direct access to the target culture (Katz 2003), whereas HL learners would benefit from L2 learners by improving their writing skills and learning metalinguistic grammatical terminology. Bowles (2011) suggests that engaging in a collaborative writing task could be beneficial for both groups of learners: L2 learners could help HL learners with diacritics and spelling (orthography) while HL learners could help L2 learners in amplifying their lexical repertoire. On the other hand, in a study by Blake and Zyzik (2003) on chat-based interactions, they found that HL learners assisted L2 learners more often than the inverse. Therefore, there were greater linguistic gains for L2 learners, but HL learners also experienced important benefits in affective factors, both linguistically and in terms of cultural self-confidence. In short, the presence of HL learners provides L2 learners with cultural gains, having access to native phonology and phonetics and being able to interact with native speakers. In turn, L2 learners can help HL learners with metalinguistic knowledge and orthographic rules (Edstrom 2007; Potowski 2002).

3. Student Opinions on Mixed Learner Classrooms

In a study on native, HL, and L2 learner experiences within mixed learner classrooms, Edstrom (2007) reports that L2 learners experienced an overall positive impact on their listening comprehension and oral skills. They also valued having access to fluent speech and diverse dialects and cultures. It was a true immersion experience for them since they had the opportunity to interact with native speakers in a conversational register, in contrast with the standard formal setting of the classroom. On an emotional level, they perceived respect and collaboration from their native and HL peers. Lacorte and Canabal (2003) argued that L2 learners did not feel intimidated by the presence of HL learners in the classroom. Nevertheless, in Edstrom (2007), the presence of HL learners affected the desire of some L2 learners to participate in class. From the perspective of HL learners, there was a consensus in reporting positive experiences with their L2 counterparts. They felt respected, appreciated, and were happy to help them. Additionally, they learned from L2 learner insights. In conclusion, all concurred that there was a pleasant atmosphere. Even though they agreed that it was better to have different tracks at lower levels, their answers varied for upper levels of Spanish, though most were satisfied with
mixed classes. There were a few, however, who requested upper-level Spanish courses specifically for HL learners. This research suggests that our objective as instructors should be to maximize benefits for all learners.

Despite a general positive reaction, students highlighted feelings of intimidation or frustration as possible drawbacks to mixed courses. There was also an overall assumption by L2 learners that faculty expectations were higher when HL learners were present and that the pace of the course was faster. Likewise, HL learners sometimes felt that instructors had higher expectations of them (Potowski 2002). Nonetheless, in a recent survey by Bowles and Montrul (2014), it was reported that 75% of HL learners preferred taking language courses with L2 learners or did not have a preference.

Campanaro (2013) compared student opinions in mixed Spanish courses in Canada, where L2 instruction is more highly regarded than in the United States. Canada is a multicultural country where HLs are protected by the Canadian Multiculturalism Act of 1985. Consequently, differences between US and Canadian school contexts regarding the perception of the Spanish language and Spanish speakers might have an influence on student opinions on mixed classes. Campanaro’s findings were positive and consistent with those of Edstrom (2007). Most L2 learners found that having HL learners in the same classroom was beneficial to their listening and oral skills, their insights, and their contributions, even though HL learner presence influenced their participation in class. Most of the HL learners were in favor of mixed classrooms and explained that they learned from their L2 counterparts. On an affective level, they felt appreciated and respected. Second language learners mentioned that they felt more comfortable working in groups since group work helped build their confidence. Both groups agreed that there were more benefits for L2 learners than HL learners regarding learning gains.

Research on the motivation for HL learners to take college language courses showed that they had a positive attitude towards the study of their language and culture but lacked confidence due to the low prestige of their Spanish dialect (Alarcón 2010; Beaudrie and Ducar 2005; Beaudrie, Ducar, and Relaño-Pastor 2009; Mikulski 2006). Thus, what HL learners expected from these courses was to improve their linguistic skills and acquire a standard dialect (Beaudrie and Ducar 2005).

4. Challenges for Instructors in a Mixed Classroom

After considering student opinions, we should identify challenges for instructors. As already mentioned, some students noticed that instructor expectations changed with HL learners in the classroom. Abdi (2011) conducted a study with HL learners in a high school classroom and found that one of the instructors was speaking more Spanish in class because of the presence of HL learners. This instructor admitted having considered HL learners to be native speakers, which might imply an extra burden for them considering that this could lead to creating false expectations among instructors about the students’ linguistic competence by overlooking their actual linguistic status as active learners. Another potential problem could be excessively relying on HL learners for participation to the detriment of L2 students, who might not be valued for their own contributions and expertise.

One of the main challenges we face is the careful selection of classroom activities for HL learners. Though HL proficiency must be recognized, García and Blanco (2000) argue that HL learners should not be relegated to tutoring roles or be assigned as informants on culture or language, since these roles might deprive them of their own linguistic growth. Inevitably, we must differentiate instruction at times so that it does not neglect HL learners (Wilkinson 2010). Wilkinson (2010) conducted a survey on Spanish teachers in Utah with mixed classrooms, asking about special roles they designated to HL learners. The roles with highest percentages were those of native informants on language (64%) and culture (59%). In total, 76% of the instructors assigned HL learners to an informant role. Whether this is a good strategy might
still be debatable, especially with regard to the possible negative impact on their own linguistic
growth. Instead, García and Blanco (2000) suggest that small group instruction is crucial to meet
the needs of both kinds of learners.

5. Suggestions for Instructors to Overcome the Challenges Encountered in
Mixed Classrooms: A Visionary Focus

According to the US Census Bureau, there has been a 43% increase of Hispanics in the
United States from 2000 to 2010 (Humes, Jones, and Ramírez 2011). Nevertheless, only 18% of
higher education institutions reported offering separate courses for HL learners in 2001 (Ingold,
Rivers, Chavez Tesser, and Ashby 2002). Indeed, mixed classrooms have been the norm up to
the present (Bowles and Montrul 2014; Lynch 2008). This trend suggests that mixed classrooms
will continue to present challenges in the future. As educators, our visionary focus should be
to overcome the challenges that diverse linguistic abilities might cause our students and make
the mixed classroom the preferred pathway for the future through instructor training. This
way, both L2 and HL learners can mutually benefit. We must provide sociolinguistic training
for instructors, so that they can educate students on linguistic variation and help them become
aware of and appreciate linguistic diversity, where no dialect is superior to another.

A visionary approach would seek out pair and small group activities that appeal to learner
strengths while recognizing learner weaknesses (Henshaw and Bowles 2015). Instructors can
reduce feelings of intimidation among L2 learners by encouraging them to establish meaningful
relationships with diverse students; they should guide them to maximize the positive impact
of this unique situation. Tutoring opportunities, group projects, and discussions can provide
support for learners of all skill levels and backgrounds without dividing the class into L2 and HL
learners (Edstrom 2007). Such a visionary approach normalizes the classroom environment as it
reduces the gap between L2 and HL conversational performance levels. Consequently, L2 learner
anxiety is reduced while increasing their tolerance and patience. This way, they can appreciate
the immersion experiences created through opportunities to learn directly from HL learners.

Supplementary materials can also be used to adapt courses to meet all student needs,
especially with regard to the development of literacy skills for HL learners (Winke and Stafford
2002). Wilkinson (2010) likewise proposes textbook accommodations so that activities could
be adapted for HL learners, focusing particularly on literacy skills. Campanaro (2013) further
recommends tasks that encourage peer support and assessment strategies that reward the group,
not only the individual. Second language and HL learners can mutually benefit from a mixed
classroom setting. In content courses, HL learners can benefit from perspectives that L2 learn-
ers share about their own culture or heritage. Regarding language, HL learners can appreciate
the control that many L2 learners have over grammar, use of diacritics, and metalinguistic
knowledge. On the phonetic level, contrary to the general assumption that L2 learners have a
disadvantage in pronunciation, they can actually help HL learners to become facilitators of the
contrastive analysis between English and Spanish. For those who would like to teach Spanish,
being aware of typical L2 pronunciation errors is very useful. Opportunities for teaching and
learning should also be offered through peer work.

In Valdés, Fishman, Chávez, and Pérez (2008), high school Spanish teachers of HL learners
argued that many practices commonly found in the advanced L2 classroom were also useful
and necessary in the heritage classroom, including individual writing and revising, peer-editing,
group research, and writing projects. Instructors could implement these practices in mixed
classrooms. In fact, there are even textbooks written for both L2 and HL learners, such as
Palabra abierta (Colombi, Pelletieri, and Rodríguez 2000), Avanzando: Gramática española y
lectura (Salazar, Arias, and de la Vega 2012), and ¡Dímelo tú! (Rodríguez, Samaniego, Nogales,
and Blommers 2005).
Henshaw and Bowles (2015) suggest additional mutually beneficial activities for mixed classrooms: ethnographic interviews, dictogloss tasks, two-way crossword puzzles, translations, and phone tag activities. They also encourage class discussion topics, including stereotypes, social justice issues, bilingualism, relationships, study abroad opportunities, dialectal variation/slang, film/art, work, and health. They recognize that choosing a teaching methodology is crucial for these students, highlighting three in particular: content-based instruction, project-based instruction, and language for special purposes. Through content-based instruction, students have access to authentic input. They can also acquire a sociolinguistic awareness of dialectal and register variation. By choosing project-based instruction (e.g., film series, translations, interviews, surveys, etc.), students serve an authentic purpose and develop an appreciation for collaboration. Finally, in a language for special purposes course (e.g., Business or Medical Spanish), both the content and purpose of the course are authentic.

6. Conclusions

Despite the growth of the Hispanic population across the country and the efforts made by colleges and universities to offer HL tracks for Spanish courses, most institutions still offer mixed classes, especially at an advanced level. Partially due to financial restrictions, it seems this trend will continue into the future. There are more benefits than drawbacks as a result of this learning situation. Nonetheless, instructors should work on overcoming the challenges this learning environment raises so that all learners can benefit. This could be achieved through collaborative group work, where students complement each other according to their strengths and weaknesses.

WORKS CITED


Response 1 to “Meeting Student Needs: Integrating Spanish Heritage Language Learners into the Second Language Classroom”

Learning for All: Addressing Issues of Access and Participation in Mixed Classes

María M. Carreira
California State University, Long Beach

Keywords: disciplinary literacy/alfabetismo disciplinario, heritage language learners/estudiantes del idioma patrimonio, mixed classes/clases mixtas, reciprocal learning/aprendizaje recíproco

Mixed classes are the most common instructional context in which heritage language (HL) learners study Spanish. From a teaching standpoint, they are also the most challenging due to the considerable differences that exist between HL and second language (L2) learners and the scarcity of pedagogical tools for addressing these differences. Many L2 textbooks include HL annotations, but this is not enough. Specialized textbooks and methodologies are needed.

The suggestions offered by Burgo speak to two general strategies that should guide instruction and the design of pedagogical materials: 1) leveraging the complementary strengths of HL and L2 learners for reciprocal learning; and 2) addressing differences between learners that undercut teaching and learning (Carreira 2016).

In terms of complementary strengths, HL learners have strong aural skills and implicit knowledge of grammar, as well as familiarity with informal registers. Second language learners have strong writing skills and explicit knowledge of grammar, and they are most familiar with formal registers. In mixed classes, this situation can translate into two very different scenarios: it can create valuable reciprocal learning opportunities or it can get in the way of teaching and learning. The difference between these two scenarios comes down to how instructors deal with the special needs and knowledge gaps of their students.

By way of illustration, it is useful to compare the conversational performance and disciplinary literacy of HL and L2 learners. Relative to HL learners, L2 learners have special needs in the area of conversational performance, particularly with spontaneous, informal language. Disciplinary literacy refers to the knowledge base, background experiences, and skills associated with a given discipline (Moje 2008). With foreign languages, this includes knowledge of grammatical terminology and concepts, as well as familiarity with classroom routines and common pedagogical interventions. Heritage language learners have less disciplinary literacy than L2 learners because they usually enter the language learning sequence somewhere beyond the first semester of study, by which time L2 learners have developed this type of knowledge (Carreira 2016). Crucially, gaps in disciplinary literacy put HL learners at a disadvantage compared to L2 learners. To this point, Torres’s (2013) study of a task-based pedagogical intervention found that L2 learners were better than HL learners at recognizing the intended purpose of the task, which in the case of this particular study was learning the subjunctive. Treating this task as an authentic situation, HL learners were not focused on its purpose.
As Burgo explains, limitations such as these can create feelings of insecurity in both types of learners and interfere with the establishment of meaningful class relationships. They can also undermine learning by preventing L2 learners from engaging in communicative activities and rendering grammar instruction inaccessible to HL learners. Countering these outcomes involves equipping each learner with the knowledge and skills they need to fully participate in and derive benefit from instruction. For L2 learners, it entails previewing and practicing the language concepts that will be required to participate in communicative activities with HL learners. For HL learners, it involves preparing them to follow grammar explanations in order to benefit from form-focused activities. These kinds of interventions are best addressed in homogeneous (HL-only and L2-only) groups and should be conceived of as creating the conditions for reciprocal learning and addressing issues that undercut learning for each type of learner.

As a final point, staying focused on the big ideas behind instruction is always important, but it is all the more so in mixed classes, where the day-to-day challenges can loom large. Big ideas answer essential questions such as: Why exactly are we teaching this? What do we want our students to understand and be able to do five years from now? (Tomlinson and McTighe 2006: 32). Orienting instruction around the big ideas extends the horizon of learning beyond any instructional unit or course and directs the gaze to promoting long-term learning for all learners. With this overarching perspective, instruction can proceed along the lines proposed—namely, supporting reciprocal learning and equipping learners to benefit from all instructional activities.
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The article “Meeting Student Needs: Integrating Spanish Heritage Language Learners into the Second Language Classroom” confirms that, despite the challenges to the instructor, combining university heritage language (HL) and second language (L2) learners in the same classroom offers excellent pedagogical opportunities for both groups. In order to increase the outcomes, the article suggests that the instructor create a collaborative environment by employing a pedagogy based on group/pair activities, which allows L2 and HL students to learn from each other. However, the article cautions that HL learners “should not be relegated to tutoring roles or informants on culture or language,” which decreases HL learning opportunities and intimates an uneven hierarchy between students. Thus, ideal learning conditions are contingent upon the organization and structure of group work (Postholm 2008). Fushino (2010) explains that student learning in a group environment is rather unpredictable unless structured guidance is in place. Therefore, Chiriac and Granström (2012) point to the importance of educational leadership and classroom management in designing group work activities. Moreover, Johnson and Johnson (1999) underscore that “placing socially unskilled students in a group and telling them to cooperate does not guarantee that they are able to do so effectively” (82). This rejoinder proposes that community engaged (CE) learning projects are an option that enables students to work cooperatively towards accomplishing a relevant goal. Community engagement encourages individual accountability and positive interdependence in a group setting. Moreover, CE projects also provide homogeneous L2 classrooms with some of the heterogeneity available in mixed classrooms.

Heritage learner populations are increasing in most US universities, but this trend does not account for all institutions. Instructors in L2-dominant classrooms need to be resourceful if they want their students to obtain the benefits of the mixed classroom setting. CE learning projects that foster interaction between L2 learners and native speakers provide a viable option that promotes similar linguistic, cultural, and affective gains to those described in mixed classes. This pedagogy “places the student in an active role . . . promoting the use of the target language in a real-life context” (Caldwell 2007: 465). A project conducted in Pittsburgh by Osa-Melero and
Fernández forged a relationship between primarily upper-middle-class, Caucasian, university students and Mexican and Central American children, ages 5–8, who recently migrated to the city. This CE project enhanced L2 cultural sensitivity, linguistic proficiency, and literary knowledge while assisting newly arrived young Hispanic immigrants to integrate into their new community. Second language learners enrolled in upper-level Spanish language and literature courses worked cooperatively to develop a three-week program on Mexican history and culture for children enrolled in the Casa San José after-school program. They adapted authentic literary pieces in Spanish, such as Mexican Rodolfo Usigli’s play *Corona de sombra* (1943), into dramatic scripts for the children to perform. Writing the scripts helped L2 learners develop their language skills with a purpose that transcended earning a grade. In addition, these culturally rich texts proved meaningful to the children and their families. Benefits for the children included: 1) exposure to L1 and their native culture in an academic setting and 2) personal growth through mentoring relationships with college students. Likewise, L2 learners reported gains in 1) oral, writing, and summarizing skills, and a nuanced appreciation of Hispanic culture; and 2) personal growth, as students reported working with Hispanic communities as one of their future career goals. Transforming group work practices through cooperative strategies following Johnson and Johnson’s (1999) guidelines is a first step in eliminating hierarchies in the classroom and the community. Therefore, cooperative CE projects diminish the risk of uneven work dynamics and implicit hierarchies between students and the community.
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